

VIVA IMR International Journal of Management

Vol. 01 (01), JUL 2022, pp.7-12

Overcoming Resistance to change

Dr. Shobha Menon

(Associate Professor, Valia C.L. College Of Commerce & Valia L.C. College Of Arts)

Prof. Vinay V. Prabhu

professorprabhu@hotmail.com

(Associate Professor, N.K. College of Commerce and Arts, Mumbai)

Abstract:-

Change is the only constant in of uncertainty, dynamism, and turbulence. Even the most stable organisations require change. Organisations and individuals are those who are laser-focused. Introduction Any change will be disruptive and will aggravate the situation.

Keywords:- Organizational change, Resistance, Employees

Introduction

Employees change when their fundamental needs for safety, social interaction, status, competence, and self-esteem are jeopardised. For these reasons, people are hesitant to accept change. Manifests itself in behaviours that attempt to postpone, discredit, or prevent. People try to protect themselves from change by making complaints, staying absent, going slowly on purpose, and sabotage.

Change is usually met with widespread opposition. Both white-collar and blue-collar workers evolve. Individuals react updating vastly different ways. Some people only consider the positive aspects (benefits), while others only consider the costs. Some people react with fear despite for the better, while others respond with enthusiasm at first but later become sceptical.

Because of globalisation, rapidly changing markets, and economic developments has grown significantly in private industries and government organisations (Piderit, 2000). It measures employees' organisational commitment, job satisfaction, and intent to leave the company. organisational stymied. organisations that assess change resistance can better understand the

variables that support organisational change through positive organisational behaviour (Del Val & Fuentes, 2003).

LITERATURE REVIEW

They conceived of binary behavioural variable. Psychological capital what is good about people by positive psychology has resulted in psychological capital. Organizational behaviour researchers applied positive psychological research to the workplace. PsyCap is expanding by mapping out (POB), Fred Luthans' (Luthans, 2002a, 2000b) organisational behaviour. Many personality traits have been linked to workplace performance.

Psychological capital

PsyCap is a collection of 13 malleable developmental states that improve significantly with relatively brief (1-3 hour), highly targeted This surge in interest could be attributed to business process reengineering, a set of aggressive, bottom-line-driven approaches to organisational restructuring.

Organizational Trust

Corporate thefts committed by Enron and illegal document shredding committed by Arthur Andersen (Forbes, 2008). This research focus has resulted in a large, diverse, and complex body of literature with many layers of analysis. Trust influences how change; how this change is implemented can within an organisation. Change capacity literature identifies eight dimensions that for successful implementation strategies.

Stavros, and Cole discovered psychological capital (2013). The authors advise organisational leaders to manage PsyCap and its four positive psychological capacities (hope, optimism, self-efficacy and resilience). The authors identified PsyCap's second. Overcoming organisational leaders, Strive while increasing the resources required to effect positive organisational change.

Change capacity literature identifies eight dimensions that for successful implementation strategies. Two of these, followers and trust in leaders, highlight change enabler.

According to Martin, employees who trust their management respond positively to changes in organisational direction (1998). (1999) discovered that a high promotes widespread acceptance of

complex organisational change. organizational trust When employees do not trust senior management's motives, there is a link between trust in management and attitudes toward change.

THE PRESENT STUDY AIM OF THE STUDY

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

The specific objectives of the study were:

- (1) To study the influence of psychological capital on resistance to change.
- (2) To study the impact of organizational trust on resistance to change.

METHODOLOGY

Sample Selection

The study's sample included 35 office workers from a medium-sized pharmaceutical company in Mumbai. The employees were given 53 questionnaires. 37 employees questionnaires. Two participants' responses either did not complete the questionnaires completely or incorrectly. 15 responses of 25 male and 10 female employees. participants was 37 years old

Measuring Tools

The following psychometric instruments were used for the study:

(1) Resistance to Change Scale:

The 'Resistance to Change Scale' is an 18-item scale that assesses the four major underlying factors that contribute.

The higher the score, the more resistant to change you are. The alpha (Cronbach's) reliability coefficient of the scale is 0.92.

(2) Psychological Capital Questionnaire (PCQ): PsyCap was evaluated using the PCQ-24 questionnaire developed by. Previous research has shown that the PCQ-24 questionnaire is both reliable and valid. The PCQ-24 questionnaire has four sections: self-efficacy, hope, resilience, and optimism. has 24 items, six of which measure dimensions. from 1 to 5, with 1 indicating how participants are feeling "right now." greater PsyCap experience.

(3) Organizational Trust Scale: Robinson's 7-item organisational trust scale was used by the authors (1996). Participants with anchors ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree." The alpha coefficient of the scale is 0.87.

Hypotheses

Based on past research findings, the following two hypotheses were proposed and tested:

H1: An increase in psychological capital reduces resistance to change.

H2: An increase in organizational trust reduces resistance to change.

RESULTS

Table 1: The role of "Psychological capital" and "Organizational trust" on "Resistance to change"

Analysis I		²				
Independent Variable:	.425	180				
Psychological capital			.27	.425	.696	.110
Dependent Variable:						
Resistance to change						
Analysis II						
Independent Variable:	.239	.057	.99			
Organizational Trust				.239	.412	.674
Dependent Variable:						
Resistance to change						

Multiple influence of organisational trust on resistance. The first change resistance. Psychological capital was a significant negative influence on the prediction of (f = -0.425, p=.0110). The findings indicated that H1 was supported. A second organisational trust regression analysis, organisational trust had no significant influence on the prediction of As a result, the findings do not support H2.

DISCUSSION

Few decades, several conducted to better understand and predict 17 employee reactions to organisational change (Morgan & Zeffane, 2003; Oreg & Sverdlik, 2011; Foster, 2010; Dent & Goldberg, 1999; Bovey & Hede, 2001). Many because individual differences during

organisational change are frequently overlooked. examined employee organisational culture It was discovered that high level are less resistant to change.

(2008) discovered that having positive employees is critical in combating negative attitudes (i.e., cynicism and deviance). These attitudes (cynicism and deviance) are frequently associated with organisational change and influence employees' adaptation to new working conditions. Organizational organisation did not reduce organisational change. This runs counter to empirical evidence on organisational change.

Several discovered a strong and organisational trust (Stanley et al., 2005; Oreg, 2006; Holoviak, 1999), trust during organisational change efforts, and trust during organisational change efforts (Cashman, 1998).

Significance

The Study Resistance to hanging does not vacuum. Employees' low psychological capital can be a significant barrier to organisational change. Increasing of employees could be an effective strategy for Increased psychological capital by organisations to improve outcomes and organisational change.

Limitations

Common source bias, which occurs when on both variables using the same sample, is a significant limitation. This data collection method may result in and inflated relationships (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee & Podskoff, 2003). The suggested method for reducing from one observer and measuring outcomes from another (or use separate occasions for measuring).

Due to resource constraints, use these methods when conducting multiple surveys and employing multiple observers. In a single organisation. More research with different sample compositions and larger sample sizes should be conducted to better understand organisational trust.

Future Research

Future Research work that has investigated various changes Individual and organisational change antecedents have been investigated and studied in a variety of ways. few studies that show that a combination of personal and organisational factors can change attitudes. This potential. Finally, a

better understanding of the nature and causes of change may improve facilitate its implementation, resulting in better organisational outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

This study looked at how organisational trust affected private pharmaceutical organisation. The study discovered that while capital of psychological was important in. This was not true of organisational trust.

REFERENCES

1. "Bruhn, J. (2002). Trust and the health of organizations. New York: Kluwer Academic Plenum"
2. "Cashman, K. (1998). Authentic Leaders. Executive Excellence, 15, (11), 6-13".
3. "Coch, L., & French, J. R. P., Jr. (1948). Human Relations. 1. 512532".
4. "Cummings, T.G. & Worley, C.G. Organization Development & Change. (9ed.). South-Western Cengage learning. USA"
5. "Del Val, M., & Fuentes, C. (2003). Resistance to change: A literature review and empirical study. Management Decision , 41 (1/2), 148-155".
6. "Dent, E. B., & Goldberg, S. G. (1999). Challenging "resistance to change." The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science. 35. 25-41"
7. "Forbes. (2008). The corporate scandal sheet. Retrieved November 13, 2008, from <http://www.forbes.com/2002/07/25/accountingtracker.html>"
8. "Foster, R.D. (2010).
9. "Holoviak, S.J. (1999). Building Trust. Executive Excellence, vol.16, No.2,pp. 13- 19".
10. "Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J., & Podsakoff, N.P. (2003). "Poppo, L., Zheng Zhou, K., & Ryu, S. (2008).
11. "Ring, P. S., & Van de Ven, A. H. (1992). Structuring cooperative relationships between organizations. Strategic Management Journal, 13(7), 483-493 21"
12. "Robinson, S. L. 1996. 41:574-599"
13. "Rousseau, D.M. & Tijoriwala, S.A. (1999)".
14. "Zak, P. J., & Knack, S. (2001).The Economic Journal, 3 , 295-321."